# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

#### LEISA BUSCHELL MONK

Plaintiff,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO

NATIONAL CREDIT ADJUSTERS, LLC

Defendant.

AUGUST 11, 2010

#### COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692; Md. Ann. Code <u>Commercial Law Maryland</u>
  Consumer Debt Collection Act. § 14-204 et seq. ("MCDCA"); and the Md. Ann.
  Code <u>Commercial Law Consumer Practices Act § 13-301 et seq.</u>
- 2. The Court's jurisdiction is conferred by 15 U.S.C.1692k and 28 U.S.C. 1331 and 1367.
  - 3. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in Baltimore, Maryland.
  - 4. Plaintiff is a consumer within the FDCPA.
- 5. Defendant is a debt collector within the FDCPA and has a place of business located at National Credit Adjusters, P.O. Box 3023, Hutchinson, KS 67504.
  - 6. Defendant is a licensed collector within the MCDCA.
- 7. Defendant communicated with Plaintiff on or after one year before the date of this action, in connection with collection efforts with regard to Plaintiff's disputed personal debt.

- 8. Defendant communicated with Plaintiff numerous times in an attempted to collect a debt that the plaintiff disputes.
- 9. Plaintiff advised the Defendant that she disputed this debt.
- 10. Defendant failed to provide the required notice pursuant to 1692e (11) in every oral communication the Defendant had with the Plaintiff.
- 11. Based upon information and belief, Defendant failed to report a known disputed debt to the Credit Reporting Agencies, despite being notified by the Plaintiff of her dispute in violation of §1692e (8).
- 12. Defendant was attempting to collect a pay day loan from the Plaintiff despite an advisory notice sent out by the Maryland Collection Agency Licensing Board, dated July 20, 2009. (Attached as Exhibit 1).
- 13. Defendant attempted to collect on an illegal pay day loan and represented the wrong character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt in violation of §1692e (2)(A).
- 14. Defendant has inadequate procedures in place to avoid such error.
- 15. In the collection efforts, the Defendant violated the FDCPA; inter alia, section 1692e, f, and g.

## SECOND COUNT

16. The allegations of the First Count are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

- 17. Within three years prior to the date of this action Defendant collection agency has engaged in acts and practices as to plaintiff in violation of the Md. Ann. Code Commercial Law Maryland Consumer Debt Collection Act § 14-204 et seq. ("MCDCA");
- 18. Defendant knowingly or negligently attempted to enforce a right with knowledge that the right does not exist in violation of §14-202 (8).
- 19. Defendant a licensed collection agency has committed unfair or deceptive acts or practices within the meaning of the Md. Ann. Code <u>Commercial Law</u>

  Consumer Practices Act § 13-301 et seq.

## WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to:

- 1. Award Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.;
- 2. Award Plaintiff Statutory damages pursuant to Maryland Consumer Debt Collection Act § 14-204 et seq;
- 3. Award Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to the Md. Ann. Code <u>Commercial</u>
  <u>Law</u> Consumer Practices Act § 13-301 et seq;
- 4. Award the Plaintiff costs of suit and a reasonable attorney's fee;
- 5. Award and such other and further relief as this Court may provide.

### 

THE PLAINTIFF

BY

Bernard T. Kennedy, Esquire

The Kennedy Law Firm

P.O. Box 657

Edgewater, MD 21037

Ph (443) 607-8901

Fax (443) 607-8903

Fed. Bar # Md26843

bernardtkennedy@yahoo.com